



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,632	07/16/2003	Horst Henn	IL920030022US1	1717
7590 12/23/2008				
Stephen C. Kaufman Intellectual Property Law Dept. IBM Corporation P.O. Box 218 Yorktown Heights, NY 10598			EXAMINER JARRETT, SCOTT L	
			ART UNIT 3624	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 12/23/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/620,632

**Applicant(s)**

HENN ET AL.

**Examiner**

Dave Robertson

**Art Unit**

2121

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dave Robertson.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Atty Heidi Brun (#34,504).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Draft Response/Amendments.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hirose.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed aspects of integration of workflow system and collaboration with respect to tighter integration than two such systems operating separately and with respect to exchange of contact lists relevant to the current state of workflow; discussed utility of "unplanned" workflow-stage-related collaborations; discussed Figures 4/5. No agreement reached with respect to current or proposed claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Dave Robertson/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2121

/Albert DeCady/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2121